

Fractals: Mathematical Foundations and Historical Evolution

Andrew Metzner

Advisors: Professor Vera Hu, Professor Krystyna Janicka-Wlodek, Maciej Wlodek, Ph.D.

May 6, 2026

Contents

<u>Abstract</u>	2
<u>Introduction: The Geometry of Iteration</u>	3
<u>The Mechanics: Recursion & Iteration</u>	3
<u>The Koch Snowflake (Infinite Perimeter)</u>	3
<u>The Dragon Fold (Space-Filling)</u>	3
<u>Mathematical Preliminaries</u>	4
<u>Real Numbers</u>	4
<u>Imaginary Numbers</u>	5
<u>Complex numbers and the Complex Plane</u>	5
<u>The Prisoner Sets</u>	6
<u>The Mandelbrot and Julia sets</u>	6
<u>The Components</u>	6
<u>Julia Sets</u>	6
<u>Mandelbrot Sets</u>	7
<u>How a Fractal is Generated: Iterative Processes and Escape Criterion</u>	7
<u>Applications and Visualizations</u>	12
<u>Before the Computer: Fractals as Proofs</u>	12
<u>The Analytic Era: Julia and Fatou (1918)</u>	12
<u>The Computational Revolution: Mandelbrot and IBM (1975–1980)</u>	12
<u>From Proof to Picture: The Historical Arc</u>	13
<u>Computer Graphics To Demonstrate Fractals and Iterations</u>	14
<u>The Escape-Time Algorithm in Practice</u>	14
<u>Orbits Made Visible</u>	14
<u>Four Fractal Modes</u>	15
<u>Smooth Coloring and the Shape of the Boundary</u>	15
<u>Bit Depth and the History of Visualization</u>	16
<u>Remarks for Future Research</u>	16
<u>19th Century Fractal Precursors</u>	16
<u>Conclusion</u>	17
<u>References</u>	18

Abstract

This paper explores the intersection of recursive algorithms and complex dynamics, tracing the evolution of fractal geometry from 19th-century mathematics to the modern computational era. By examining both mathematical proofs and computer graphics, we analyze how simple iterative rules generate infinite complexity.

The study discusses theorems from complex analysis, such as the Fundamental Theorem of Algebra, Cauchy's Bound, and their relations to fractals. We provide a derivation of the Escape Criterion $|z| > 2$, within the context of the Mandelbrot and Julia sets, meaning that the dynamics of the quadratic map $f : z \rightarrow z^2 + c$ are contained within this specific radius. Through the application of the Triangle Inequality, we demonstrate that any orbit exceeding this boundary must diverge to infinity, as the magnitude of $|z_n|$ grows monotonically and the ratio $\frac{|z_{n+1}|}{|z_n|}$ eventually exceeds unity.

By looking at how simple lines can evolve into complex, space-filling shapes, we can better understand the geometry of the real world. This research highlights fractal geometry as the powerful tool for describing "rough" surfaces. It reveals a surprising truth: the most complex structures in nature are often born from a single, simple feedback loop.

Introduction: The Geometry of Iteration

Fractals come from repeating simple rules over and over again, but the results can be surprisingly complex. Instead of thinking about them only as abstract mathematical object, it helps to look at how they appear on a computer screen. Computer graphics allow us to see each step of the process, showing how a single starting point changes as the function is applied again and again.

In recursive algorithms, the same calculation is repeated, and each new result becomes the input for the next step. When this process is visualized, we can observe whether points stay within a bounded region or move farther and farther away. For the function $f(z) = z^2 + c$, some points remain bounded, while others escape to infinity. Computer graphics track this behavior and often assign colors based on how quickly points escape, turning the process into detailed visual patterns.

This is how sets such as the Mandelbrot set and Julia sets are generated. By plotting many points and iterating the function for each one, computers reveal patterns that would be difficult to understand from equations alone. What appears to be a highly complex image is actually the result of a simple rule repeated many times, demonstrating how complexity can arise from simplicity.

The Mechanics: Recursion & Iteration

- **Recursion** : The blueprint where an object is defined in terms of itself (e.g., "replace a line with a bend").
- **Iteration** : The repeated application of the rule ($n, n+1 \dots$). As $n \rightarrow \infty$, complexity emerges.

The Koch Snowflake (Infinite Perimeter)

The Koch Snowflake demonstrates how iterative "bending" creates infinite detail within a finite boundary. Each iteration multiplies the perimeter by $4/3$.

- **Recursive Rule**: Replace the middle third of a line with an equilateral "bend."
- **Natural Parallel**: Models the jagged efficiency of **coastlines** and **snow crystals**.

The Dragon Fold (Space-Filling)

The Dragon Curve (Heighway Dragon) illustrates how a 1D line, through successive 90 degree "folds," eventually occupies 2D space.

- **Recursive Rule**: Fold a segment onto itself repeatedly.
- **Natural Parallel**: Models the dense complexity of **vascular systems** and **river deltas**.

Mathematical Preliminaries

The iterative function $f(z) = z^2 + c$ at the heart of fractal geometry is defined on the **complex number system**. Before analyzing how orbits behave under this map, we must build the necessary number system from the ground up. Real numbers establish the baseline behavior of squaring. Imaginary numbers extend this to capture behaviors that real numbers cannot. Combining the two into complex numbers produces the two-dimensional plane on which fractals live: every pixel in a Mandelbrot or Julia set image corresponds to a single complex number, and the fractal boundary is drawn by testing whether that number's orbit escapes or remains bounded.

Real Numbers

Real numbers are the numbers we use every day, such as whole numbers, fractions, and decimals. They live on a single number line, stretching in both directions from zero.

What matters most for fractals is how squaring a number z changes its size. Depending on where z sits on the number line, the result behaves very differently.

Behavior of z^2 Across Cases

z	z^2	Case
1	1	$z^2 = 1$
-1	1	$z^2 = 1$
2	4	$z > 1$
3	9	
4	16	
5	25	
0.9999	0.99980001	$0 < z < 1$
0.999	0.998001	
0.99	0.9801	
-2	4	$z < 0$
-3	9	
-4	16	
-5	25	

- $z^2 = 1$: Squaring leaves the value unchanged. $z = 1$ and $z = -1$ both map to 1.
- $z > 1$: Squaring makes the value larger. The orbit grows without bound.
- $0 < z < 1$: Squaring makes the value smaller. The orbit shrinks toward 0.
- $z < 0$: Squaring removes the sign. Negative values behave the same as their positive counterparts.

Imaginary Numbers

When squared, imaginary numbers give a negative result. This does not happen with real numbers, but imaginary numbers are defined precisely to make it possible. The imaginary unit is:

$$i = \sqrt{-1} \implies i^2 = -1$$

Any imaginary number is a real multiple of i :

$$3i, \quad -5i, \quad \frac{1}{2}i$$

Squaring Imaginary Numbers

The key behavior is that squaring an imaginary number always produces a **negative real** result:

Number	Squared	Result
i	i^2	-1
$2i$	$(2i)^2 = 4i^2$	-4
$3i$	$(3i)^2 = 9i^2$	-9
$-i$	$(-i)^2 = i^2$	-1
$-2i$	$(-2i)^2 = 4i^2$	-4

This is the opposite of real numbers, where squaring always gives a positive result.

The Cycle of Powers of i

The powers of i repeat in a cycle of four:

Power	Value
i^1	i
i^2	-1
i^3	$-i$
i^4	1
i^5	i

The cycle then repeats. This periodic behavior – orbiting rather than escaping – is the same phenomenon at the heart of prisoner sets and bounded orbits.

Complex numbers and the Complex Plane

When a real number and imaginary number are combined, we get a complex number. A complex number is **the sum of two numbers**, one **real** and one **imaginary**.

$$a + bi$$

where:

- a is the real part
- bi is the imaginary part

The Prisoner Sets

In complex dynamics, a **prisoner set** is the collection of all starting points z_0 whose orbit under repeated iteration of $f(z) = z^2 + c$ remains *forever bounded*. These points are captured by the dynamics, never escaping to infinity – hence the name "prisoner." The complement of the prisoner set is the **escape set**, consisting of all points whose orbits diverge. The boundary between these two sets – the razor's edge between captured and escaping – forms the fractal itself: the Julia set boundary, or in parameter space, the Mandelbrot set boundary.

The Mandelbrot and Julia sets

The Mandelbrot set is based on repeated application of the Mandelbrot function.

If a point diverges to infinity, it is designated as one color, otherwise it belongs to the Mandelbrot set and is designated as another color.

Function: The Mandelbrot Iteration Formula

$$z_{n+1} = z_n^2 + c$$

The Components

- **The Square Term (z^2)**
Responsible for the "folding" and "stretching" of the complex plane.
- **The Constant (c)**
Acts as a translational shift that determines the specific geometry.

Julia Sets

In Julia Sets, z is the primary variable while c is kept constant. We test every possible starting coordinate z_0 on the complex plane.

- **Black (The Contained)**
If the orbit of z stays bounded (never hits $|z| > 2$), that coordinate is part of the set.
- **Blue to Red (The Escape)**
If the point diverges, the color shifts from Blue (slow escape) to Red (fast escape).
- **Recursive Rule:** For a fixed c , repeatedly apply $z_{n+1} = z_n^2 + c$ to every starting point z_0 ; bounded orbits form the set.
- **Result**
A map of the "dynamics" for one fixed c .
- **Natural Parallel:** Models the intricate boundaries of **snowflakes** and **fern leaves**, where a fixed rule applied to varying starting conditions produces self-similar, organic shapes.

Mandelbrot Sets

In the Mandelbrot Set, c is the primary variable. We start every test at the origin $z_0 = 0$ and change the value of c for every pixel.

- **Black**
If z remains contained for a given c , that pixel is colored black.
- **Blue to Red**
If z escapes for a given c , the pixel shifts from Blue to Red based on how quickly it diverged.
- **Recursive Rule:** Starting from $z_0 = 0$, repeatedly apply $z_{n+1} = z_n^2 + c$; if the orbit stays bounded, c belongs to the set.
- **Result**
An "atlas" or index of all Julia sets that contain the origin.
- **Natural Parallel:** Models the **branching complexity** of **trees** and **lightning bolts**, where a single parameter governs infinite structural variation.

How a Fractal is Generated: Iterative Processes and Escape Criterion

- *Initial:* $z_0 = 0$ (Mandelbrot) or $z_0 = pixel$ (Julia)
- *Process:* $z_{n+1} = z_n^2 + c$
- *Escape:* $|z_n| > 2 \iff x_n^2 + y_n^2 > 4$
- *Logic:* Points that stay bounded are in the prisoner set, whereas others are in the escape set.

1. Iterations of Mandelbrot set ($z_0 = 0$)

$$z_{n+1} = z_n^2 + c, \quad z_0 = 0 \tag{1}$$

$$z_1 = c$$

$$z_2 = c^2 + c$$

$$z_3 = (c^2 + c)^2 + c$$

$$z_4 = ((c^2 + c)^2 + c)^2 + c$$

2. Iterations of Julia set ($c = constant$)

$$f_c(z) = z^2 + c, \quad c \in \mathbb{C} \text{ (fixed)} \tag{2}$$

$$z_0 = x + iy \text{ (pixel coordinate)}$$

$$z_1 = z_0^2 + c$$

$$z_2 = (z_0^2 + c)^2 + c$$

$$z_3 = ((z_0^2 + c)^2 + c)^2 + c$$

The Escape Criterion

$$|z| > 2$$

The Escape Criterion is the mathematical boundary that determines if a pixel is part of the Mandelbrot or Julia Set or if it diverges to infinity. The escape criterion $|z| > 2$ is used in fractals to determine whether a point will diverge to infinity when iterated. If the absolute value of z exceeds 2 during the iterations, the point is considered to escape and is not part of the set.

The escape criterion $|z| > 2$ serves as a universal boundary for both sets because it defines the point of no return for the quadratic recurrence. In the Mandelbrot set, we start at $z_0 = 0$ and vary c ; if $|c| > 2$, the first iteration $z_1 = c$ already satisfies the escape condition, proving the entire set must lie within a radius of 2.

For the Julia set, c is fixed and we vary the starting point z_0 . If we choose a parameter $|c| \leq 2$, then any z that grows larger than 2 will satisfy $|z| > |c|$ and $|z| > 2$ simultaneously, triggering the same recursive divergence. Thus, the value 2 acts as the fundamental "event horizon" where the z^2 term permanently overpowers the additive constant c , ensuring the orbit reaches infinity regardless of whether you are mapping parameter space or dynamic space.

We will discuss three key points to explain the divergence involved in the escape criterion.

- The Fundamental Theorem of Algebra
- Cauchy's Bound
- Two Condition Proof of the Escape Criterion

The Fundamental Theorem of Algebra

We will discuss the role of Fundamental Theorem of Algebra (FTA) as it connects to fixed points in fractals.

Statement

The FTA states that every polynomial of degree n has exactly n complex roots up to multiplicity.

Fixed Point Derivation

Our iterative function is:

$$f(z) = z^2 + c$$

To find the "fixed points" (the equilibrium points of the fractal), we solve:

$$z^2 + c = z \implies z^2 - z + c = 0$$

According to the FTA, this quadratic equation *must* have exactly two solutions:

$$z_{\text{fixed}} = \frac{1 \pm \sqrt{1 - 4c}}{2}$$

The Bound of the Dynamics

Cauchy's Bound is a theorem from polynomial algebra that guarantees a finite radius in the complex plane within which all roots of a polynomial must lie. For a monic polynomial of degree n :

$$p(z) = z^n + a_{n-1}z^{n-1} + \cdots + a_1z + a_0$$

all complex roots are guaranteed to satisfy:

$$|z| \leq 1 + \max(|a_0|, |a_1|, \dots, |a_{n-1}|)$$

This draws a circle in the complex plane outside of which no root can exist. The significance is not the bound itself, but the *guarantee*: whatever the polynomial, its roots are always within a computable, finite radius.

The Triangle Inequality

The length of one side of a triangle cannot exceed the sum of the other two.

For any $a, b \in \mathbb{C}$:

Standard: $|a + b| \leq |a| + |b|$ (sum is bounded above)

Reverse: $|a + b| \geq |a| - |b|$ (sum is bounded below)

Two Condition Proof of Escape Criterion (by Contradiction)

In this proof by contradiction, we want to show that if we have a point $|z| > 2$, then the orbit must diverge. We start with the additional assumption that $|z| > |c|$, which will later be removed.

STEP 1: Using the Triangle Inequality

Given

- We assume: $|z| > 2, |z| > |c|$
- The standard Triangle Inequality: $|A + B| \leq |A| + |B|$

—

Preliminary Analysis

Using the Triangle Inequality $|A + B| \leq |A| + |B|$, we substitute:

- $A = z^2 + c$
- $B = -c$

$$\begin{aligned} |z^2 + c - c| &\leq |z^2 + c| + |-c| \quad (\text{with } B = -c) \\ |z^2| &\leq |z^2 + c| + |c| \quad (\text{noting } |-c| = |c|) \\ |z^2 + c| &\geq |z^2| - |c| \\ |z^2 + c| &\geq |z|^2 - |c| \quad (\text{noting } |z^2| = |z|^2) \end{aligned}$$

Divergence Analysis

By assuming $|z| > |c|$, we can establish a growth factor for the sequence iterations. Starting from the lower bound:

$$\begin{aligned} |z^2 + c| &\geq |z|^2 - |c| \\ &> |z|^2 - |z| \quad (\text{since } |z| > |c|) \\ &= |z|(|z| - 1) \quad (\text{factoring}) \end{aligned}$$

Let z_n represent the current iteration and $z_{n+1} = z_n^2 + c$ represent the next state. We can then express the ratio of growth as:

$$\frac{|z_{n+1}|}{|z_n|} > |z_n| - 1$$

Since the initial condition is $|z| > 2$, the term $(|z_n| - 1)$ is strictly greater than 1. This confirms that the magnitude of z increases by a scaling factor greater than unity at every step, ensuring the orbit diverges to infinity.

STEP 2: Displaying Divergence with Limits

By establishing in Step 1 that the growth ratio is strictly greater than some constant $x > 1$, we can prove divergence using a limit as the number of iterations k approaches infinity.

Proof by Growth Factor

If we assume $|z| > 2$, then there exists some real number x such that:

$$\frac{|z_{n+1}|}{|z_n|} > x > 1$$

By applying this ratio recursively for k iterations, we can determine the lower bound of the magnitude for the $n + k$ state:

$$|z_{n+k}| > x^k |z_n|$$

Limit Analysis

To evaluate the long-term behavior of the orbit as $k \rightarrow \infty$:

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} x^k |z_n| = |z_n| \left(\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} x^k \right) = |z_n| \cdot \infty = \infty$$

Since $|z_{n+1}| > |z_n|$ holds true for all iterations where $|z| > |c|$ and $|z| > 2$, the magnitude of the point will increase without bound. This confirms that any point satisfying these conditions belongs to the escape set and is not a member of the prisoner set (the Julia set or Mandelbrot set).

STEP 3: Removing the assumption of $|z| > |c|$

The prisoner set (Mandelbrot or Julia) only contains points c where $|c| \leq 2$. Any point falling outside of this radius belongs to the escape set.

Preliminary Analysis

To see this, we follow the sequence iterations starting from $z_0 = 0$:

- $z_0 = 0$
- $z_1 = c$
- $z_2 = c^2 + c$

Divergence Analysis

If we assume $|c| > 2$, then $|c|^2 > 2|c|$. We can apply the reverse triangle inequality to find the lower bound for the magnitude of z_2 :

$$\begin{aligned} |z_2| &= |c^2 + c| \\ &\geq |c^2| - |c| \quad (\text{by Reverse Triangle Inequality}) \\ &> 2|c| - |c| \quad (\text{since } |c|^2 > 2|c|) \\ &= |c| \end{aligned}$$

Conclusion

At the state z_2 , the magnitude already exceeds $|c|$. Because $|z_2| > |c|$ and $|z_2| > 2$, the sequence satisfies the criteria for divergence. This confirms that if $|c| > 2$, the orbit will diverge to infinity. Such points cannot be members of the prisoner set.

Applications and Visualizations

The history of fractals is a history of perception. For over a century, the objects we now call fractals existed only as proofs – theorems about functions that broke the rules, sets that defied measurement, curves that filled entire planes. They were not pictures. They were arguments. The transformation from argument to image is one of the great shifts in the history of mathematics.

Before the Computer: Fractals as Proofs

In the 19th century, the precursors to fractal geometry emerged as purely theoretical constructions. Karl Weierstrass (1872) defined a function continuous everywhere but differentiable nowhere. It is an infinite sum of cosines whose parameters prevent any tangent line from forming at any point. Georg Cantor (1883) constructed his famous set by repeatedly removing the middle third of an interval, producing a dust with zero total length but uncountably many points. Giuseppe Peano (1890) defined a curve that passed through every point in a two-dimensional square.

These objects were considered *monsters*, or pathological exceptions to classical Euclidean geometry. To visualize them meant sketching only the first few steps of an infinite construction: three intervals becoming nine, a bent line becoming a snowflake edge. The true limit, or the actual fractal, lived only in the mathematics.

The Analytic Era: Julia and Fatou (1918)

In the early 20th century, Gaston Julia and Pierre Fatou independently studied the iteration of complex rational functions. Their work directly prefigures the dynamics explored in this paper: the same map $f(z) = z^2 + c$, the same question of whether orbits escape or remain bounded, the same fractal boundary between the two behaviors.

Julia's landmark memoir (1918) was written while he was recovering from severe wounds sustained in World War I. His 250-page paper proved, through purely analytic means, that the boundary between bounded and escaping orbits is a set of infinite complexity – what he called a *dust of points*. He never saw it. The mathematics was complete. The picture was invisible.

The Computational Revolution: Mandelbrot and IBM (1975–1980)

The transformation came when Benoit Mandelbrot, working at IBM's Thomas J. Watson Research Center in the 1970s, used computers to apply the escape-time algorithm to thousands of points simultaneously. The algorithm is precisely the one discussed in this paper: iterate $z_{n+1} = z_n^2 + c$, check whether $|z| > 2$, and color each pixel based on how quickly the orbit escapes.

The Mandelbrot set was first plotted in 1978 by Robert W. Brooks and Peter Matelski; Mandelbrot's landmark 1980 paper produced the detailed images that brought the set to the broader mathematical community. What the computer revealed was that the boundary of the set was not smooth – it was fractal. Zooming in produced new structures that echoed the whole. What 19th century mathematicians had called monsters were, in fact, the geometry of nature: coastlines, clouds, mountain ranges, vascular networks.

Mandelbrot coined the term *fractal* in 1975, from the Latin *fractus* (broken, irregular), to describe this geometry.

From Proof to Picture: The Historical Arc

The progression from proof to picture reflects a fundamental shift in how fractals are perceived:

- **Theoretical Construction (1872–1918)**

Fractals exist only as mathematical definitions. Their properties are proven, not seen. They are considered monsters that defy classical intuition.

- **Analytic Dynamics (1918–1970s)**

Complex iteration is studied rigorously. The existence of fractal boundaries is proven. Visualization remains impossible.

- **Computational Visualization (1975–present)**

Computers apply escape-time algorithms to generate images. Color-coding of escape rates produces detailed fractal patterns. The perception of fractals shifts from pathological exception to fundamental geometry.

- **Modern Applications**

Fractal geometry finds applications in computer-generated terrain, texture synthesis, antenna design, signal compression, and modeling of natural systems from coastlines to vascular networks.

The ability to see fractals did not change the mathematics. The proofs of Weierstrass, Cantor, Julia, and Fatou remain exactly as they were written. What changed was human perception, and with it was the recognition that these monsters were everywhere.

Computer Graphics To Demonstrate Fractals and Iterations

Computer graphics are how we perceive the popular sets today. Today's perception of fractals is inseparable from computer graphics. The transition from theoretical proof to visual representation is more than just a historical discussion. It can be reproduced. To facilitate this, this paper utilizes a browser-based fractal plotter dubbed *FracIter* (coming from the words *Fractal* and *Iteration*) that was developed specifically for the research at hand. The program allows the user to visually understand all the components of different fractal sets.

The Escape-Time Algorithm in Practice

The core computation in *FracIter* is a direct implementation of the escape-time algorithm derived in this paper. For every pixel on screen, the program maps the pixel's coordinates to a point c in the complex plane and iterates the quadratic map:

$$z_{n+1} = z_n^2 + c$$

At each step, it checks whether $|z|^2 > 4$ – the programmatic form of the escape criterion $|z| > 2$. If the orbit escapes, the iteration count is recorded. If it does not escape within a fixed maximum number of iterations, the point is classified as a member of the prisoner set and colored accordingly.

This is the same algorithm Mandelbrot used at IBM in the 1970s. What *FracIter* adds is immediacy: the user can shift the viewing window of the complex plane in real time, zoom into regions of infinite detail, and watch the colored bands shift and reorganize as the iteration limit is raised or lowered. The fractal is no longer a static image. It is a live computation.

Orbits Made Visible

One of the most instructive features of *FracIter* is the orbit viewer. When hovering over any point on a Julia or Mandelbrot set, the program computes and draws the full sequence $z_0 \rightarrow z_1 \rightarrow z_2 \rightarrow \dots$ for that specific point, plotted directly on the complex plane alongside the escape circle $|z| = 2$. An accompanying table displays each iterate's real part, imaginary part, and modulus at every step:

iter	Re(z)	Im(z)	z
0	0.0000000	+0.0000000i	0.000000
1	-0.7000000	+0.2701500i	0.750217
2	0.2166823	-0.0786700i	0.230736
...			
n	→	ESCAPED	z = 2.042831

The table displays discrete values that align with the same condition proven in this paper: **once $|z_n| > 2$, the ratio $|z_{n+1}|/|z_n|$ exceeds unity, and the orbit diverges to infinity.**

Four Fractal Modes

FracIter implements four fractal constructions, each corresponding to content discussed in this paper:

- **Julia Set**

The parameter c is held fixed and z_0 is varied across the plane. The prisoner set – the set of all z_0 whose orbit does not escape – is the Julia set for that value of c . Adjusting c in real time reveals how dramatically the geometry of the Julia set depends on the choice of parameter: connected or disconnected, elaborate or dust-like. This is the same sensitivity Julia proved analytically in 1918, but here it becomes something a reader can feel with interactive inputs.

- **Mandelbrot Set**

Here $z_0 = 0$ is fixed and c is varied across the plane. A point c belongs to the Mandelbrot set if and only if the orbit of 0 under $f(z) = z^2 + c$ remains bounded. Each pixel represents a different c , and the coloring encodes how quickly that orbit escapes. The result is the same image Mandelbrot produced from IBM's computers in 1980 – the boundary that revealed a fractal with an unexpected structure.

- **Koch Snowflake**

The program generates each recursive subdivision of the Koch construction up to a user-controlled depth. The "ghost layers" of previous depths remain visible at low opacity, so the viewer can watch the line bend into the snowflake edge, iteration by iteration. The perimeter grows by a factor of $4/3$ at each step; the area remains finite. The same infinite perimeter, finite area paradox introduced in this paper's discussion of 19th century constructions.

- **Dragon Curve**

The Heighway Dragon Curve is built by repeatedly folding a segment onto itself. FracIter computes up to 2^{16} segments and colors them by position along the curve, making this fractal the only space or area-filling structure in this program.

Smooth Coloring and the Shape of the Boundary

FracIter uses a *smooth* and *continuous* iteration count rather than a raw integer, eliminating the hard color bands that would otherwise appear at integer escape thresholds. The formula applied at the moment of escape is:

$$\text{smooth count} = q + 1 - \frac{\log(\log |z_q|)}{\log 2}$$

where q is the integer iteration at which escape is detected and $|z_q|$ is the modulus at that point. This normalization – based on the rate at which $\log |z|$ grows – converts discrete step counts into a continuous value, and the result is a smooth color gradient that follows the contour lines of the escape rate across the complex plane. What emerges is not just the boundary of the set but the *shape* of the dynamics in its neighborhood: the bands of color encode how much faster or slower orbits escape as one moves away from the prisoner set.

Bit Depth and the History of Visualization

FracIter also simulates different display bit depths – 8-bit, 16-bit, and 32-bit color – showing what fractal images looked like on the hardware of earlier decades. At 8-bit depth (the 3:3:2 palette of early personal computers), the smooth gradient collapses into hard bands; fine boundary detail is lost entirely. At 16-bit depth, the banding softens but remains visible. At 32-bit, the full smooth gradient is available.

This is not a stylistic choice. It is a demonstration of how much of the mathematical structure was invisible to the first generation of fractal visualizers – not because the mathematics was not there, but because the hardware could not express it. The shift from 8-bit to 32-bit color is a small version of the larger shift from proof to picture: the same orbit, the same escape criterion, but an entirely different capacity to see it.

Remarks for Future Research

19th Century Fractal Precursors

The most natural direction for extending this research is a deeper engagement with the 19th century mathematical objects that prefigure modern fractal geometry. The work developed in this paper, such as the escape criterion, the Mandelbrot and Julia sets, the proofs of divergence, represents the computational and complex-dynamic face of fractals. The earlier, pre-computational work reveals the same underlying ideas in purely analytical form and offers a rich domain for further study.

Three constructions are particularly foundational:

- **The Weierstrass Function (1872)**

The first formally proven example of a function continuous everywhere but differentiable nowhere. Its self-similar oscillations at every scale make it a fractal in the modern sense. Future work could compute its Hausdorff dimension (mathematical concept that measures fractal dimension of a set) and connect its nowhere-differentiability to the fractal boundaries studied in this paper.

- **The Cantor Set (1883)**

A set of measure zero with uncountably many points, exhibiting exact self-similarity at every scale. Its Hausdorff dimension is $\log 2 / \log 3 \approx 0.631$. The connection between Cantor-like sets and Julia sets of certain maps (e.g., the Julia set of $f(z) = z^2 - 2$) provides a direct bridge between the 19th century and the dynamics studied in this paper.

- **The Peano and Hilbert Curves (1890–1891)**

Space-filling curves provide an early example of a 1-dimensional object with Hausdorff dimension 2 – the same kind of dimensional paradox central to fractal geometry. Their recursive construction is directly analogous to the iterated function systems framework underlying modern fractal geometry.

Additionally, the work of Gaston Julia and Pierre Fatou (1918) represents the direct analytic precursor to this paper’s subject matter. A rigorous study of Julia’s original work would provide both historical grounding and deeper analytic foundation for the escape criterion and prisoner set results developed here.

Conclusion

Fractal geometry demonstrates how simple iterative rules and quadratic dynamics produce infinitely complex and self-similar structures. By exploring the behavior of the quadratic map $f(z) = z^2 + c$ and the Escape Criterion $|z| > 2$ (in which the latter is derived using the Triangle Inequality and displaying divergence with limits), fractals reveal the underlying patterns that govern natural forms, from jagged coastlines to branching vascular systems. This study highlights the power of recursion and iteration in modeling complexity, illustrating universal principles of structure, repetition, and scale, and offering a deeper appreciation for the elegant mathematics behind nature's intricate designs.

References

- Mandelbrot, B. B. (1982). *The fractal geometry of nature*. New York, NY: W. H. Freeman.
- Popa, B., Selişteanu, D., Lorincz, A. E. (2022). Possibilities of use for fractal techniques as parameters of graphic analysis. *Fractal and Fractional*, 6(11), 686. <https://doi.org/10.3390/fractalfract6110686>
- Roy, S., Gdawiec, K., Saha, P., Choudhury, B. S. (2025). Exploring fractal geometry through Das–Debata iteration: A new perspective on Mandelbrot and Julia sets. *Chaos, Solitons Fractals*, 199(Part 1), 116617. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chaos.2025.116617>